



PROGRAMME OF STUDY HANDBOOK

Master of Arts in Theology

LEVEL 7

NEWBOLD COLLEGE OF HIGHER EDUCATION

2025-2026

CONTENTS PAGE

Page No

INTRODUCTION	1
FEEDBACK AND STUDENT ENGAGEMENT	1
WELCOME MESSAGE	1
CURRENT MEMBERS OF STAFF	2
Names and Contact Details	2
EXTERNAL EXAMINERS	6
ACADEMIC YEAR	7
MODULAR TERMINOLOGY	10
ASSESSMENT	10
Extenuating Circumstances	10
Additional needs in terms of assessment	
Academic Appeals	
Assessment Regulations	
Responsibilities of students in relation to the assessment process	
Late submission and resubmission principles	12
VIRTUAL LEARNING ENVIRONMENT (VLE)	13
PROGRAMME OF STUDY –MA in Theology	14
Programme Learning Outcomes	14
Modules	14
ASSESSMENT OF PART I (TAUGHT MODULES)	16
COURSEWORK DEADLINES	16
DISSERTATION/PORTFOLIO PREPARATION AND ASSESSMENT	14
DISSERTATION Nature and demands of tutorial supervision for this Programme of Study Dissertation Deadline	18
OVERVIEW OF THE PROGRAMME	19
POLICIES	20
The Engagement Policy	20
Newbold email account and use of Moodle	25
WORD LIMITS	25

ABOUT ACADEMIC INTEGRITY	26
Statement on Artificial Intelligence in Academic Research and Writing	30
LIBRARY AND LEARNING RESOURCES	31
Tutors	31
Teaching and Learning	34
STUDENT SERVICES	34
COMPLAINTS	34
STUDENTS' UNION	35
APPENDIX A	36
APPENDIX B	37

INTRODUCTION

This handbook provides you with detailed information about MA in Theology Programme, and about the modules that will be offered for study in the academic year 2025–26.

University of Wales Trinity St David, in partnership with Newbold College of Higher Education (in the following text: college) has made every effort to make the information as full and as accurate as possible, but you should note that minor changes in the organisation of modules between the planning stage and the actual teaching are inevitable. We shall try to keep any such changes to a minimum, and you will receive plenty of advance warning in the event of any alteration. We would also be grateful if you would let us know about any changes that you think might be helpful if introduced into future handbooks.

NOTE: Since University of Wales publish their Academic Quality Handbook for 2025–26 by mid – September 2025, there might be later changes introduced in the MA Student Handbook 2025–26 in order to harmonise its stipulations with the University of Wales Academic Quality Handbook 2025–26.

FEEDBACK AND STUDENT ENGAGEMENT

The educational experience is a collaborative approach in which students and faculty engage with mutual respect. Constructive feedback on your programme of study and modules is welcome and important and will help us to improve and enhance your learning experience. You can give feedback in a number of ways, including: through the academic representative for your programme of study, through module evaluation questionnaires, or through informal meetings with your teaching staff. If there are issues, then it is important that you share these with us so that we can address them. Your opinion is valued.

Newbold College works to engage all students individually and collectively in the assurance and enhancement of their educational experience. The College has a strong commitment to students as partners in their educational experience.

At Newbold College we expect from the students to provide feedback, because we:

- Value student engagement and the student voice
- Have a comparable set of systems and procedures to UWTSD in place which reflect the requirements of Newbold College
- Meet Newbold College's principles of student engagement, representation and support
- Meet the expectations of the UK Quality Code for Higher Education
- Clearly articulate to students any variations to the principles
- Have good communication mechanisms in place to let students know what has been done in response to feedback.

WELCOME MESSAGE

The Centre for Ministry and Mission (formerly Department of Theological Studies) at Newbold College of Higher Education is widely recognised as an educational institution of excellence in biblical, theological and pastoral studies. The focus of the department is on the development of academic competencies and pastoral skills of our students. As a Seventh-Day Adventist institution, we stand for integrating faith in

learning in our academic activities. Thus, the staff at the Centre for Ministry and Mission aims to create an inspiring environment in which the students can gain indepth knowledge, be challenged to think creatively and innovatively, develop pastoral skills, so they can reach the highest level of their personal potential. The students also participate in the life of the local churches around the Newbold area, where they are part of worshiping communities and are involved in outreach projects. This complements their academic education with developing practical and relational skills. We aim to help our students to discover their passion and calling preparing them for serving in the Church and in the wider community as spiritual leaders.

CURRENT MEMBERS OF STAFF

The following members of staff will be teaching on your Programme of Study:

Names and Contact Details

Centre for Ministry and Mission at Newbold College of Higher Education

Programme Leader	Telephone No	Email Address
Dr Ivan Milanov	01344407480	imilanov@newbold.ac.uk
Tutors of MA Pathways	Telephone No	Email Address
Dr L. Gallusz (Biblical Studies)	01344407407	lgallusz@newbold.ac.uk
Dr J. Barna (Systematic Theology)	01344407407	jbarna@newbold.ac.uk
Dr J. Thompson (Pastoral Studies)	01344407407	jthompson@newbold.ac.uk

CURRENT MEMBERS OF STAFF

The following members of staff will be teaching on your Programme of Study:

Jan Barna, PhD (Trinity College/University of Bristol)

Principal Lecturer in Biblical and Systematic Theology

Dr Barna worked as a pastor in Slovakia. He also holds a Master's degree in Leadership from Andrews University. His areas of expertise include systematic and biblical theology, ordination of women and hermeneutics.

Laszlo Gallusz, PhD (Karoli Gaspar University of the Reformed Church, Hungary)

Head of CMM; Principal Lecturer in New Testament

Dr Gallusz was seminary, and departmental director at South-East European Union Conference. His areas of interests are New Testament exegesis and theology, particularly the Book of Revelation and New Testament eschatology. He is also the Head of the CMM Department and the current Undergraduate programme director.

Tihomir Lazic, DPhil (University of Oxford)

Senior Lecturer in Systematic Theology

Dr Lazic has completed his doctoral studies at University of Oxford where he has researched ecclesiology. He also worked for the Trans-European Division as the director of Student Ministry and is an accomplished musician. Dr Lazic's primary research interest is ecclesiology.

Ivan Milanov, PhD (University of Wales Trinity St David)

Postgraduate Programme Manager; Senior Lecturer in Old Testament
Dr Milanov has served for several years as pastor in Macedonia and Serbia and as
lecturer at Belgrade Theological Seminary. His research interests include the book of
Daniel and Old Testament prophetic literature.

Eike Mueller, PhD (Andrews University, USA)

Undergraduate Programme Director; Senior Lecturer in New Testament
Dr Mueller has served for several years as pastor in Germany and the United States
of America. After completing his doctoral studies, he served as lecturer and assistant
seminary dean at Adventist International Institute of Advanced Studies (Philippines).
His primary research interests are the Gospels.

Adrian Peck, MA (Newbold College of Higher Education/Friedensau Adventist University)

Lecturer in Pastoral Studies; Field Placement Director

Pastor Peck joins Newbold College with a rich pastoral experience. He was involved in church planting and disciple making in South England Conference. His area of interest is missiology. Pastor Peck pursues his doctoral studies at University of Roehampton London.

Dan Serb, DMin (Andrews University, USA), PhD (University of South Africa)

Principal Newbold College of Higher Education; Lecturer in Pastoral Studies

During over 25 years of ministry, Dr Serb has worked as a contract lecturer, researcher, missionary and minister of religion. Before moving to Newbold this year, he served as president of the Seventh-day Adventist Church in Ireland and Northern Ireland (Irish Mission) for over 8 years. Dr Serb is also the founding director of the Centre for Conflict Resolution Europe and his main areas of interest are youth and media ministries, human rights and religious freedom as well as addressing the often convoluted relationship between religion and science.

Julian Thompson, DPhil (University of Oxford)

Lecturer in Pastoral Studies

Dr Thompson has served as a pastor in the South England Conference and as Messenger Editor for the British Union Conference before joining Newbold College of Higher Education. His research interests include interdisciplinary approaches to the Hebrew Bible.

Contact

Jan Barna
Laszlo Gallusz
Tihomir Lazic
Ivan Milanov
Eike Mueller
Adrian Peck
Dan Serb
Julian Thompson

Email Address

jbarna@newbold.ac.uk lgallusz@newbold.ac.uk tlazic@newbold.ac.uk imilanov@newbold.ac.uk emueller@newbold.ac.uk apeck@newbold.ac.uk dserb@newbold.ac.uk ithompson@newbold.ac.uk

EMERITUS STAFF

Gunnar Pedersen, ThD (Andrews University, USA)

A former president of the Danish Union and a former Head of the Department of Theological Studies at Newbold, Dr Pedersen's main area of expertise is biblical theology, especially the doctrine of salvation.

Laurence Turner, PhD (University of Sheffield)

A former Head of the Department of Theological Studies at Newbold College, Dr Turner has been a pastor in Great Britain and spent several years as a lecturer in Australia and Newbold College. His teaching and research interests are in the Old Testament and biblical preaching.

Jean-Claude Verrecchia, Doctorat ès sciences religieuses (Strasbourg, France) Dr Verrecchia has served as missionary, pastor, principal and head of department of the Campus Adventiste du Salève (Collonges, France). His specialisations are in the New Testament, hermeneutics and Second Temple Judaism. He also works for the United Bible Society as an editor of Bible-translations. Dr Verrecchia is currently the Postgraduate Course Director.

VISITING ACADEMIC STAFF

Daniel Duda, DMin (Andrews University, USA)

Dr Duda, the current President of the Trans-European Division, has served as a pastor in Slovakia, also as an Academic dean and Head of Theology at Zaoksky Adventist University, Sazava Theological Seminary and Newbold College. Between 2005–2022 he was Education Director at TED serving also as a Field secretary. During the period of January 2021–June 2022, Dr Duda also served as the Transitional Head of CMM. His primary interests are biblical theology and pastoral counselling.

Patrick Johnson, DThMin (King's College, London)

Dr Johnson has been a pastor in the SDA church for over 30 years, serving both in Norway and UK. He is currently serving as the Ministerial Association Secretary and Discipleship Coordinator at the Trans-European Division. The focus of his doctoral thesis was on disability and the SDA church.

Gabor Mihalec, PhD (Karoli Gaspar University of the Reformed Church, Hungary)

Dr Mihalec is a pastor and qualified family therapist. He is author of more than ten books. He is a leading expert who is involved in some significant research projects in his field. Dr Mihalec runs his own counselling centre for couples and features often in media.

Gifford Rhamie, PhD (Canterbury Christ University)

Dr Rhamie's focused research interest lies in exploring how cultural studies could aid with interrogating and displacing Eurocentrism and Anti-blackness in biblical and pastoral studies. This involves drawing on postcolonial theory, whiteness theory, diaspora and pilgrimage studies, and critical conviviality to explore 'racialised' discourse and interpretation in Second Temple Afroasiatic Judaism, Early Christianity and pastoral ministry in the 21st century.

Administrative Staff

Serena Santona, BSW, MSA – Academic Registrar (ssantona@newbold.ac.uk) **Ivana Godina** – Academic Programmes Administrator, CMM

EXTERNAL EXAMINERS

All taught Programmes of Study which lead to a Higher Education award of the University have at least one External Examiner. The principal purposes of the University's external examiner system are to ensure that:

- the standard of each award is maintained at the appropriate level;
- the standards of student performance are comparable with standards on similar programmes or subjects in other UK institutions with which they are familiar;
- the processes for assessment and the determination of awards are sound and fairly conducted.

Students may request a copy of the previous year's External Examiner's Report for their programme of study by contacting the Programme Manager.

The current External Examiners for the Programmes of Study are:

Programme of Study	External Examiner for 2025/26		
	(forename/surname)	(if different to current)	
MA in Theology	Rev Dr Ernest C. Lucas, Bristol		
	Baptist College		
MA in Theology	TBA	Dr Katie Cross, Aberdeen	
		University	

Please note that students are not permitted to make direct contact with the External Examiners without permission and to do so may be considered a disciplinary offence.

CMM ACADEMIC CALENDAR 2025/26

Autumn Semester 2025

28 Aug Programme Board 1–5 Sept Induction Week

2 Sept Classes begin

8–12 Sept MA Research Skills Seminar

12 Sept Last day to complete enrolment

30 Sept PG Research Seminar

7 Oct Programme Board

21 Oct PG Research Seminar

27 – 31 Oct Mid-semester Break

6 Nov MA Dissertation Writing Boot Camp

11 Nov Programme Board/Exam Board

18 Nov PG Research Seminar

1–5 Dec Revision Week

2 Dec Programme Board

4 Dec Field Placement Advisory

8–11 Dec Exams

14 Jan MA dissertation submission (for students enrolling in PGCert)

Spring Semester 2025

21 Jan Programme Board/Exam Board

26 Jan Classes begin

6 Feb Last day to complete enrolment 11 Feb External Exam Board (UWTSD)

17 Feb PG Research Seminar

24 Feb Programme Board

2–5 March Residential Week for All Students

3 March PG Research Seminar

9–13 March Mid-semester break

20–21 March Newbold Bible Conference

31 March Exam/Programme Board

3–6 April Easter Break

7 April PG Research Seminar

27 Apr – 1 May Revision Week

28 April Programme Board

5–8 May **Exams**

6 May MA Dissertation submissions (graduation in July 2026)

19 May Internal Exam Board

2 June External Exam Board (UWTSD)

10 July Graduation at Lampeter

12 July Newbold Award Ceremony

13–15 July Resits

13 July Resubmission deadline

12 August Progression Exam Board (UWTSD)

It is vitally important that Newbold College has an accurate record of your personal details at all times. It is equally important to ensure that you are enrolled on the correct Programme of Study and on the correct modules and that you read and agree to the Partner Enrolment Agreement. Each academic year, you will need to enrol as a student **and** you will need to enrol on the modules that you will be studying during the academic year. Failure to enrol properly or to inform Newbold College of any changes to your personal details is likely to cause some or all of the following problems:

- failure to keep you generally informed;
- not being able to borrow materials from the Library and Learning Resources;
- not being able to use the Information Technology services;
- failure to contact you in an emergency;
- delay in progressing from Part I to Part II where applicable;
- delay in graduation as a result of not completing sufficient credits at the correct level;
- general inefficiencies in administrative processes resulting in delays for other students.

DISCLOSURE AND BARRING SERVICE (DBS) – ENHANCED

If your programme involves you coming into contact with children or vulnerable adults, you will be required to undertake a DBS check (Enhanced). Further details will be provided by the Programme Leader, Dr Ivan Milanov.

As part of each programme's procedures relating to DBS, continuing students will normally be required to inform their Programme Leader immediately of any change to their criminal convictions status.

You will also be asked to complete a formal self-declaration during the enrolment period at the beginning of the academic year, which confirms your criminal conviction status.

MODULAR TERMINOLOGY

Programme of Study (Course)

A Programme of Study or course is the collection of modules leading to a particular award.

Module

A module is an academically coherent unit of learning activity with defined aims/learning outcomes, content and assessment scheme.

Module Credit Rating

Every module has a credit rating. Credit is an award made to a learner in recognition of the verified achievement of designated learning outcomes at a specified level.

Level of Study

A level is assigned to each module to define the standard of its academic demand. Study at Master's level is called Level 7 in the National Qualifications Framework. The basic characteristics of the relevant levels of study are outlined by the Welsh Assembly government and available on their website:

https://gov.wales/sites/default/files/publications/2018-02/level-descriptors.pdf

Students should note that the expectations and demands of work at Level 7 is higher than those at undergraduate Levels 4-6, and that this will be reflected in the assessment and marking of the module assessments.

ASSESSMENT

At the start of each module, tutors will provide full details of the means by which you will be assessed in that module. This will include a full assessment brief and guidelines on the criteria that will be used for marking your work as well as clear information about when the assessments are due and the turn-around feedback time for the assessments. It will also detail how you will be able to discuss the feedback on your work and your performance and what to do if you have any questions.

All assessed written coursework needs to be submitted in electronic copy via Turnitin; alternative instructions will be provided by the Programme Leader for any forms of coursework that cannot be submitted via Turnitin.

Newbold College offers programmes in English. The normal expectation is that you will be assessed in the same language in which the programme or modules are delivered

You will be assessed in the same language in which the programme or modules are delivered.

Any marks released prior to formal approval by the Progression / Award Examining Board are **PROVISIONAL**.

Extenuating Circumstances

If you believe that there are extenuating circumstances which may have adversely affected your ability to complete coursework or examinations, you should follow the University's procedures for Extenuating Circumstances for Taught Provision, which

can be found on the Academic Office section on the University's website and forms part of the *Mitigating Circumstances Policy*:

http://www.uwtsd.ac.uk/academic-office/procedures-for-academic-appeals-complaints-and-other-student-cases/

Additional needs in terms of assessment

If you have any additional needs in terms of assessment, it is your responsibility to liaise with the Centre for Ministry and Mission regarding those needs at the start of the academic year, so that a Statement of Compensatory Measures can be drafted in line with the University's procedures for assessment of students with additional needs.

Note that the period between the end of the summer examinations and the end of the summer term is an independent study period, which should be used to prepare for your next year of study. Further details will be provided by your Programme Leader.

Academic Appeals

Information about how to appeal is provided in the 'Academic Appeal Procedure' which forms part of the *Academic Appeal Policy* associated with Chapter 12 of the *Academic Quality Handbook*, and in supporting documentation published on the Academic Office section on the University's website:

http://www.uwtsd.ac.uk/academic-office/procedures-for-academic-appeals-complaints-and-other-student-cases/

Additional advice is available from the Students' Union. It is very important that you note carefully the grounds on which appeals may be made and submit your appeal on the appropriate form because incomplete or ineligible appeals cannot be processed.

Assessment Regulations

The rules and regulations that govern the assessment of your Programme of Study are available in Chapters 6 and 7 of the University's *Academic Quality Handbook*, a copy of which is available on the Academic Office section of the website: http://www.uwtsd.ac.uk/academic-office/

Responsibilities of students in relation to the assessment process

You are responsible for ensuring that:

- i) You are correctly enrolled on your programme of study (including re-enrolment for each academic year after initial enrolment).
- ii) You read and understand the information provided about how you will be assessed, how your final award will be determined, and the action to take in the event that you experience difficulties relating to assessment.
- iii) You attempt every assessment component of a module.
- iv) You attend examinations and other assessment events, including reexamination and re-assessment events, as required; and that you are available to attend oral examinations if required to do so within the published dates of terms or semesters, or other date notified to you in advance.
- v) You submit work for assessment as required and in accordance with the required format and deadline.
- vi) You inform your Programme Leader of any disability or long-term impairment which might require special provisions for assessment.
- vii) You familiarise yourself with the University's definition of academic misconduct and undertake assessments in a manner that does not attempt to gain unfair advantage.

- viii) You follow the prescribed procedures in the event that you experience extenuating circumstances or wish to appeal against the decision of an Examining Board.
- ix) You keep a copy of work presented for assessment wherever feasible.
- x) You make your work available for sampling for external examining purposes.

Late submission and resubmission principles

There are three major principles guiding the rules of submission, late submission, resubmission and penalties for **essay/papers**.

(1) The published deadline submission principle
Assessment should be submitted by the published deadline. There is no provision for extension provision.

(2) The 1 week cut off principle

Students who have not submitted by the deadline will have another one week to submit their work. If no work is submitted within this one-week after the published deadline, the college will record such as 'non-attempt' and it will result in a failure of the module. Work submitted within the one-week period after the deadline will be capped by a maximum grade of 50%.

(3) The resubmission deadline principle

Work submitted before the deadline and/or within one week after the deadline which received a mark lower than 50% (below pass level) might be given ONE resubmission attempt. It is at the discretion of the Exam Board to allow the resubmission attempts. The deadline for such attempt for 2025–2026 academic year is 13 July 2026. All work for resubmission must be handed in. If students do not resubmit by this deadline, then they will automatically fail the module. If the work is submitted late but is of a passing standard, it will receive a maximum grade of 50%.

There are two major principles guiding the rules for exams and exam re-sits.

(1) The Exam Principle

Exam fails are handled the same way as essay submissions. If the student does not attend the exam during the exam week, the college will record a 'non-attempt' and it will result in a failure of the module.

(2) (2) The Resit Principle

Exams which do not meet the passing standard of 50% shall be **resat** in **13–15 July 2026**. If passed, they will receive a maximum grade of 50%. If an exam is not passed at the resit exam, the student will fail the module. Please note that there is only **ONE exam resit attempt allowed** in the postgraduate programme for each module. The resubmission deadline is **13 July 2026**. If the resubmitted written assignment is passed, the maximum grade will be 50%.

VIRTUAL LEARNING ENVIRONMENT (VLE)

Newbold College has its own Virtual Learning Environment and its own processes for introducing you to, and advising you about, VLE access. Further details will be given to you by your Postgraduate Programme Leader.

PROGRAMME OF STUDY - MA in Theology

Programme Learning Outcomes

The Degree is awarded to students who have demonstrated:

- a deep comprehension of Adventist tradition and a critical engagement
 with a range of themes; debates and methods within theology;
- knowledge of appropriate research methods and adoption of adequate research skills, with good use of academic journals as well as books;
- critical comprehension of the hermeneutical, textual, philosophical, theological and practical expressions of Christian theology.

Holders of this qualification will be able to:

- demonstrate the ability to deal with complex issues of theology and practice of ministry both systematically and creatively;
- demonstrate intellectual flexibility through the practice of exegetical, dogmatic and pastoral methods of study;
- demonstrate the ability to continue to advance their knowledge and understanding of theology and ministry to high degree;
- demonstrate an in-depth understanding of ethical issues raised by their research, and compliance with relevant codes of practice;
- show independence in thought, and critical self-awareness about one's own outlook, commitments and prejudices;
- exhibit skills necessary for the production of original research with an ability to adopt a critical distance from their source material and to evaluate it critically, reaching their own independent conclusions;
- demonstrate critical reflection on their own particular standpoint and a good understanding of methodological and hermeneutical issues that are raised
- effectively communicate information, ideas, arguments, principles and theories and effectively develop an argument by essays, dissertation and/or verbally;
- demonstrate an advanced ability to identify, gather and analyse relevant/primary sources as well as secondary literature;
- demonstrate a high degree of independence and self-direction in learning and taking responsibility for their own learning experience.

Modules

Module descriptors for all modules are available via the postgraduate theology website, accessible via newbold.ac.uk or the Theology Students Sharepoint.

Module Code	Module Title	Module Type	Credits
	Semester 1		
NCTR7062	Biblical Theology and Praxis of Ministry	Core	20
	and Mission		
NCTR7054	Principles of Biblical Hermeneutics	Optional	20
NCTR7008	Principles and Methods of Theology	Optional	20
NCTR7061	Hope, Future and Eschaton	Optional	20
NCTR70XX	Counselling Young People	Optional	20
NCTR7032	Church Leadership and Administration	Optional	20
	Semester 2		
NCTR7019	Preaching Biblical Narratives	Optional	20
NCTR7009	Leading Motifs in Adventist Theology	Optional	20
NCTR7043	Race, Identity and Nation	Optional	20
NCTR7052	Theological Methods for Pastoral Studies	Optional	20
NCTR7035	Emerging Perspectives in Ecclesiology	Optional	20
NCTR7042	Ableism and Disability	Optional	20
NCTR7053	Pastoral Care and Counselling	Optional	20
NCTR7050	Emerging Perspectives in Soteriology and	Optional	20
	Eschatology	-	
Semester 3			
NCTR7031	MA Dissertation	Core	60

PROGRAMME STRUCTURE

Students should choose a pathway: Biblical Studies, Pastoral Studies or Systematic Theology. Each pathway has a unique structure.

The tables below show the current composition of the programme:

Biblical Studies

Code	Title	Credits
PART I		
NCTR7062	Biblical Theology and Praxis of Ministry and Mission	20
NCTR7054	Principles of Biblical Hermeneutics	20
NCTR7042	Ableism and Disability	20
NCTR7043	Race, Identity and Nation	20
NCTR7061	Hope, Future and Eschaton	20
NCTR7019	Preaching Biblical Narratives	20
PART II		
NCTR7031	Dissertation	60

Pastoral Studies

Code	Title	Credits
PART I		

NCTR7062	Biblical Theology and Praxis of Ministry and Mission	20
NCTR7052	Theological Methods for Pastoral Studies	20
NCTR7032	Church Leadership and Administration	20
NCTR7053	Pastoral Care and Counselling	20
NCTR70XX	Counselling Young People	20
NCTR7019	Preaching Biblical Narratives	20
PART II		
NCTR7031	Dissertation	60

Systematic Theology

Code	Title	Credits
PARTI		
NCTR7062	Biblical Theology and Praxis of Ministry and Mission	20
NCTR7008	Principles and Methods of Theology	20
NCTR7009	Leading Motifs in Adventist Theology	20
NCTR7050	Emerging Perspectives in Soteriology and Eschatology	20
NCTR7019	Preaching Biblical Narratives	20
NCTR7035	Emerging Perspectives in Ecclesiology	20
PART II		
NCTR7031	Dissertation	60

Module details are correct on 1 September 2025 and will not normally be changed during the year. In the unlikely event of the school having to make changes to these details during the year, you will be notified in writing. The modules that are delivered each academic year will depend on several factors, including the number of students that opt to study that module. All the options on your Programme of Study will not necessarily be offered each year.

ASSESSMENT OF PART I (TAUGHT MODULES)

Each module will be assessed independently in ways which reflect the objectives and learning outcomes of the module and the programme of study as a whole and the learning and teaching strategies employed within the module.

Details of assignments, guidance on the objectives of the assessment and criteria applied in marking will be provided at the beginning of each module.

Full information about the classification of results and regulations for progression can be found in Chapter 6 of the *Academic Quality Handbook*.

All work in Part I will normally be marked within the University's agreed 20 clear termtime working days turn-around time for assessed coursework. You will be contacted by the module co-ordinator if this is not possible.

COURSEWORK DEADLINES

All assessed written coursework needs to be submitted in electronic copy via Turnitin; alternative instructions will be provided by the Programme Leader for any forms of coursework that cannot be submitted via Turnitin.

DISSERTATION PREPARATION AND ASSESSMENT

Each candidate accepted into Part II will be required to submit a dissertation not exceeding 15,000 words. The word limit <u>does not</u> include appendices (if any), essential footnotes, the formal declarations and statements or the bibliography and index. The appendices can act as a repository of raw data. It should be noted that examiners are not obliged to read the appendices when examining a piece of work.

If a piece of work is clearly above the indicated word limit, then the student should discuss editorial action with their supervisors before submission.

The module code for the dissertation: **NCTR7031 MA Dissertation**

DISSERTATION

It is expected that students reaching the dissertation stage of a Master's Programme of Study will have acquired and practised research skills relevant to the modules studied. Students will be allocated a supervisor who will advise them on the issues arising at various stages in the research project.

Dissertations must be submitted in accordance with the University's requirements.

Master's dissertations will normally be marked within the University's agreed 30 clear working days turn-around time.

Details of the responsibilities of the student and dissertation support entitlement can be found in the Supervision Policy for Taught Master's Students (Appendix GA30). http://www.uwtsd.ac.uk/academic-office/appendices-and-forms/

Nature and demands of tutorial supervision for this Programme of Study

More detailed information about the MA dissertation could be found in the MA Dissertation Student Handbook. For a copy of the student handbook, please contact Dr Ivan Milanov via imilanov@newbold.ac.uk

Dissertation Deadline

The very last day of submission of the MA dissertation is the last day of matriculation (please double check the date with the Academic Registrar). Attempts to submit an MA dissertation after this date will be unsuccessful and the student will fail the dissertation module by default.

However, for students who wish to be enrolled into PG Cert in Ministry and Mission in the spring semester and march in the Award/Graduation Ceremony in June/July 2025, the last day of submission is 15 January 2025. For students who wish to march in the Award/Graduation Ceremony in June/July 2025, the last day of submission is 7 May 2025.

OVERVIEW OF THE PROGRAMME

OVERVIEW OF THE PROGRAMME				
Biblical Studies	Pastoral Studies	Systematic Theology		
Year 1 Level 7 Semester 1				
Biblical Th	NCTR7062 eology & Praxis of Ministry ar	nd Mission		
NCTR7054 Principles of Biblical Hermeneutics	NCTR70XX Counselling Young People	NCTR7008 Principles and Methods of Theology		
NCTR7061 Hope, Future and Eschaton	NCTR7032 Church Leadership and Administration	NCTR7066 Emerging Perspectives in Ecclesiology		
Year 1 Level 7 Semester 2				
NCTR7043 Race, Identity and Nation	NCTR7053 Pastoral Care and Counselling	NCTR7050 Emerging Perspectives in Soteriology & Eschatology		
NCTR7042 Ableism and Disability	NCTR7052 Methods for Pastoral Studies	NCTR7009 Leading Motifs in Adventist Theology		
NCTR70 Preaching Biblical Narratives				
Year 2 Level 7 Semester 1				
NCTR7031 Dissertation				
Year 2 Level 7 Semester 2				
	NCTR7031 Dissertation			

POLICIES

Engagement Policy

Overview

Engagement with lectures, tutorials, is essential to learning. This policy sets forth principles and practices to create a constructive learning environment for all participants. This includes basic rules of conduct for video and in-person lectures, as well as attendance regulations. Students that have unauthorized absences for five (5) academic contacts or drop below 80% attendance in any given month must improve their attendance. Continued absence may result in removal from the programme of study.

1.1. AUTORISED ABSENCE

UKVI defines "Authorised Absence" an acceptable reason for absence. Students who have the need to apply for authorised absence need to provide verifiable documentary evidence to support their request. Authorised absences will not be included when a student's attendance is assessed for disciplinary action. Authorised absences include:

- a. long-term and critical illness
- having to return to one's home country unexpectedly, for example as a result of a close personal bereavement or serious illness (first circle of family)
- c. to leave the UK for a programme-sponsored educational trip

1.1.1 Authorised absence and UK Visa

Under the UK Visa and Immigration regulations if a student has a visa to study at the College, they must be present on the premises for the purpose of their studies during semester time unless they apply for, and is granted, an 'authorised absence' from their studies. Proper documentation might be necessary

Outside of the semester tourist travel off campus for international students does not require any special approval.

1.1.2 Procedure to obtain an authorised absence

Students must follow the following steps if they are unable to attend the College during semester time.

1.1.2.1 Absence due to illness or accident

If a student is unable to attend lectures or sessions on their academic programme, for example because of ill health or accident, they (or, in an emergency, a friend) must contact their lecturers and the CMM Departmental Assistant via phone or email before lecture sessions take place. Students are required to meet with the CMM Departmental Assistant on the first day that they return to classes, bringing acceptable supporting documents that evidence the reasons for their absence.

1.1.2.2 Leaving the UK during semester time

Students must obtain written permission for short periods of absence (maximum of one week) in semester time, for example to

return home due to bereavement or an illness or for programmesponsored educational trips. If this is approved and an international student needs to travel outside the UK, the College will provide a letter explaining the reasons of the student's travel. This can be shown to an Immigration Officer(s) should they ask for proof that a student is studying on an academic programme and has permission to travel outside the UK.

Longer absences during semester time require more detailed guidance and may require the interruption of a student's studies for a given period. In such cases it is a requirement that students book an appointment to speak to the Academic Registrar.

UNAUTHORISED ABSENCE

Unauthorised absences include any absences that have not been approved (see above). Two criteria are used to assess whether an accumulation of unauthorised absences is negatively impacting the learning experience requiring intervention: The number of continuous days missed (beginning at 5 consecutive days) and the percentage of unauthorised absences across all academic engagements in a given month (dropping below 80% attendance).

Falling below either one of these two metrics begins a process of disciplinary action that seeks to assist the student to reengage with the academic programme.

1.2.1 Consecutive periods of absence

A student's expected academic contact is defined as any one day in which there are timetabled academic sessions.

For this policy, 'contacts' includes any of the following:

- a. Lecture sessions
- b. Examinations
- c. Tutorials
- d. Pre-arranged meetings
- e. Workshops
- f. Placement activities
- g. Designated events (e.g. College's assemblies, Week of Spiritual Emphasis, Residential Weeks)

In addition, for Taught Masters students working on their dissertations 'contacts' will also include some or all of the following forms of communication:

- h. Face to face meetings;
- i. One-to-one or group video-conference meetings;
- j. Pre-arranged face-to-face review meetings.
- 1.2.1.2 After missing five (5) consecutive academic contacts (for Taught Masters students 2 consecutive academic contacts), the student will be contacted by email and asked to explain why they have been absent and when they expect to return.

- 1.2.1.3 After missing eight (8) consecutive academic contacts (for Taught Masters students 4 consecutive academic contacts), the student will be contacted by email and advised to return to their studies immediately.
- 1.2.1.4 After missing ten (10) consecutive academic contacts (for Taught Masters students 6 consecutive academic contacts), their respective Programme Board will review the case and will decide on disciplinary action, including the recommendation to the Exam Board held by the University of Wales Trinity Saint David (UWTSD) to withdraw the student from their programme. Additionally, international students will be reported to the UKVI, and the sponsorship of their Student visa will be withdrawn.

1.2.2 Module Engagement in Percentage

Attendance is also assessed as a percentage of missed appointments within each calendar month. A minimum threshold of 80% attendance is required. The counter resets every month.

- 1.2.2.1 A student who has an attendance of less than 80% monthly will be issued with a first warning by email. The student will be required to meet with their Programme Director and establish a plan to reengage fully with their academic programme.
- 1.2.2.2 If at the next monitoring point the student has an interval attendance of less than 80%, a second (and final) warning is issued. The Programme Board will review the case and will decide on disciplinary action, including the recommendation to the Exam Board held by the University of Wales Trinity Saint David (UWTSD) to withdraw the student from their programme. This can also have implications for international students as they will be reported to the UKVI and the sponsorship of their Student visa will be withdrawn.
- 1.2.2.3 All students more than ten minutes late for a single period will be marked as absent from that period. Students more than ten minutes late for a double or third period will be marked as absent from the first period but as present for the second and third period. Joining class after the ten-minute late period can be very distractive to the teaching environment and it is therefore up to the discretion of the lecturer whether students are allowed to participate for the remainder of the single class period.
- 1.2.2.4 Late arrivals to class (within the first ten minutes of class) will be marked as late for that period. This also applies to the resumption of class after a break for a second or third hour. Three late markings are equivalent to one absent mark.

ENGAGEMENT

Physical Engagement Lecture Room Etiquette

To enhance the educational atmosphere of learning, participation, and classroom interaction it is expected that all students follow the engagement rules for any academic contacts below:

- a. Mobile phones must be switched off during lectures.
- b. Visitors are generally welcome, but this must be approved by the lecturer beforehand. We recognise that occasionally, but not on a regular basis, children might need to accompany a parent to class. This also needs to be with the lecturer's permission beforehand.
- c. Eating and drinking should be confined to the breaks between academic appointments.
- d. Use of laptops is permitted in class provided their use is restricted to matters relating to the lecture.

Virtual Engagement

The virtual study space requires additional engagement rules to those listed above:

- a. To ensure a consistent and stable learning environment students enrolled in an in-person programme are expected to be present in person at all times. The virtual learning space should only be accessed if the student is experiencing a contagious illness or by prior agreement (at least three-day notice) of the lecturer.
- b. Students may not be in other concurrent meetings.
- c. Students need to have their camera on at all times. If a student turns off their camera, it is up to the discretion of the lecturer to consider this student absent from the class period.
- d. Students should engage and interact with the classroom in a manner similar to physical presence.
- e. Chatting (writing text in the virtual platform itself or another platform) should be restricted to the class activities and enhancing the learning of all module members.
- f. Students should not be driving while attending synchronous online classes.
- g. Students should be in a quiet environment conducive to a learning environment.
- h. It is recommended that other individuals should not be at the room at the same time.

Recordings

Newbold College has the ability to record and share class lectures. These are provided to the students to enhance the learning environment by means of reviewing course content. They are not an adequate replacement to the classroom experience. Watching class recordings does not qualify as being present in class.

The lecturer and the College reserve the right to make these lectures available or to remove access at any point in time.

REVIEW AND APPEAL PROCESS

- 3.1 The process of recording attendance is a joint responsibility of lecturers and students and will be administered at the beginning of each class period. A variety of tools can be used for record keeping.
- 3.2 Attendance records are reviewed by the Engagement Review Committee that reports to the Programme Board. The Engagement Review Committee consists of the Academic Registrar, the CMM Departmental Assistant, and the Programme Leaders. The first review occurs after the first two weeks and subsequently at regular intervals (at the end of each calendar month). All teaching staff have access to the attendance record.
- 3.3 Students will receive notification of their progress. Students below the consecutive academic contact or percentage threshold will receive warning emails directing them to contact their Programme Director immediately to avoid further disciplinary actions.
- 3.4 After a second warning email the case will be brought to the Programme Board for disciplinary action. The disciplinary process in the Programme Board explores each case individually. Based on the student's overall academic achievements, attendance during previous levels/periods of study, recent developments and/or the impact of severe domestic circumstances, the Programme Board will decide what disciplinary action should be initiated. Some of the options, but not limited to these, include:
 - a. The arrangement of a personalized educational plan for the student.
 - b. The strong recommendation to transition to a part-time mode.
 - c. The recommendation to the Exam Board held by the University of Wales Trinity Saint David (UWTSD) to withdraw the student from their programme. Withdrawal from the programme will also entail a report to the UKVI (international students), Student Finance England (EU/Home students) as appropriate, and, if applicable, a sponsoring institution or entity (f.e. the Trans-European Division (TED) of Seventh-day Adventist for TED sponsored students).

Students will be formally notified of the decision of the action of the Programme Board.

- 3.5 Students may, regardless of attendance levels, also be excluded by the exam/progression board for lack of academic progress.
- 3.6 Appeals Process: If a student wishes to appeal the decision of the Programme Board, they can appeal by following the process outlined in the Student Complaints Policy.

Newbold email account and use of Moodle

On enrolment, students are supplied with their own Newbold email address and password for ease of communication. Students can set their own password and can redirect this email address to any email address of their choice. *Please note that CMM will only use the Newbold address to communicate with students*.

Communication to students via this Newbold email address will be deemed by the College to be official notification.

CMM makes extensive use of *Moodle*, a web-based programme facilitating distance learning, and further communication between staff and students. Students are given special access to each of the modules they are enrolled in. This facility significantly improves the delivery of the modules. Students are expected to use it.

In addition to the attendance requirements of Newbold College international students must comply with the Partner Institution's attendance policies for such students. Further details are available from your Programme Leader.

WORD LIMITS

Different assessments have different word lengths specified for them; it is important that the student keep to the word length specified for each assessment at all times on the following grounds:

- To encourage succinct and clear writing by students.
- To ensure equity between all the students doing that particular assessment

If the specified word limit for an assessment has been exceeded, the following penalties would normally apply:

- Up to 10% above the word limit No deduction off final mark
- Between 10% and 25% above the word limit Deduction of 5 marks off final mark (or reduce the mark to the capped mark, whichever is greater)
- Between 25% and 50% above the word limit Deduction of 10 marks off final mark (or reduce the mark to the capped mark, whichever is greater)
- 50% or more over length Maximum mark of capped mark

The penalty cannot take the work into the fail category.

Likewise, a failure to meet the maximum word limit may result in lower marks based on the quality of the work because they may not have included the necessary information required for the assessment and met the stated learning outcomes.

ABOUT ACADEMIC INTEGRITY (ACADEMIC MISCONDUCT)

Academic integrity is being honest in the work that you do in your studies. Honesty takes many forms; for example:

- Writing your own answers in unseen exams without consulting with others or using online or printed materials;
- Formally acknowledging the work and ideas of others, whether those of a friend, a lecturer, an article or book that you read, or a page on the internet that you accessed:
- Ensuring that you get ethical approval for your research where necessary;
- And many other actions that you take during your studies.

Breaches of Academic Integrity are called Academic Misconduct.

The University defines academic misconduct as '– Any action by a student which gives or has the potential to give an unfair advantage in an examination or assessment, or might assist someone else to gain an unfair advantage, or any activity likely to undermine the integrity essential to scholarship and research'. Committing academic misconduct in assessment is one of the most serious offences in academic life, and its consequences can be severe. It undermines the integrity of scholarship, research, and of the examination and assessment process.

It is very important to understand that it is no defence to claim that academic misconduct has been committed unintentionally, accidentally, due to extenuating

circumstances or a long-term impairment (irrespective of whether or not these circumstances or long-term impairment have been acknowledged by the University).

There are several types of Academic Misconduct. Here are some examples and how to avoid them:

Plagiarism is presenting someone else's work or ideas as one's own. Plagiarism can include phrases, sentences, ideas, viewpoints, data, tables, pictures or indeed anything that someone else has created and is presented as your own work.

Plagiarism is one type of academic misconduct. Plagiarism is passing off, or attempting to pass off, another's work as your own. It includes copying the words, ideas, images or research results of another *without acknowledgement*, whether those words etc. are published or unpublished. It is plagiarism, for example, to copy the work of another student, of a member of staff or a published article without crediting the author. Persons who allow their work to be plagiarised are also guilty.

Plagiarism is one of the worst offences in academic life, and its consequences can be severe. It undermines the integrity of scholarship, research, and of the examination and assessment process. The guidance that follows explains what is meant by plagiarism, describes the University's regulations for dealing with it, and provides help in avoiding it.

When enrolling as a student at the University of Wales Trinity Saint David you have consented to your work being scrutinised both electronically and in person to check for cases of plagiarism. It is expected that your work will be submitted electronically via VLE.

For submissions outside of the VLE platform, it is equally important that you reference your sources accurately. In some cases you will be expected to submit both hard and electronic copies, which can be checked against the database of the UK Higher Education Plagiarism Detection Service. For handwritten, portfolio and process workbook submissions check with your module tutor if you are in any doubt about whether you have infringed the regulations. They are here to assist you; ensure that you take advantage of their expertise.

How to avoid plagiarism:

- 1. Never copy/paste from any source, unless you have clearly identified that you are doing this.
- 2. Always provide references to the sources of information you have used.
- Anything that is copied or quoted from another source, including electronic sources such as the internet, must be in quotation marks and attributed to the original author. This may be in the body of the text or as a footnote, depending on which Referencing style you are asked to use.
- 4. Paraphrasing or summarising the work of others involves putting their ideas into your words. This is fine, but again, acknowledge your source.
- 5. Where you are generally indebted for your ideas to one or two main sources, this can be a bit trickier. If the ideas or the way they are presented come from

one or two sources, make this clear. Do the same if they come from lectures. It is important not to claim originality where it does not exist but to indicate in general where the information comes from.

6. Full citations in timed unseen examinations are obviously not expected, but you should indicate general indebtedness and always credit any quotations you have managed to remember.

Referencing is essentially acknowledging the sources of information and ideas that you have used. The golden rule is; `if in doubt, provide references' and your Referencing Handbook will provide you with specific guidance to help you to do this correctly. For support, contact your tutor or Librarian.

Newbold College recognises only SBL style for writing written assignments. The handbook could be found via the following link:

If you are uncertain which handbook is for your subject, do ask your Programme Manager or Academic Tutor.

Other Types of Academic Misconduct

Self-plagiarism is when you are reusing material that you have created yourself.

How to avoid self-plagiarism:

1. Never take a paragraph (or sentence or graph or image, etc.) from a previous piece of work and submit it as part of a new piece of work.

Remember Self-plagiarism is as bad as plagiarism and has the same penalties.

False authorship is a broad category of misconduct, which covers from buying an essay off an essay-mill to using paraphrasing software.

How to avoid false authorship:

- 1. Never ask someone else to create your work, whether that is a friend, a relative or someone you pay.
- 2. Never submit work that you have bought or solicited.
- 3. Do not use material repositories, where students store essays and notes, without full referencing.
- 4. Do not use paraphrasing software or Artificial Intelligence Generators, unless you have been allowed to do so by the module tutor.

Fundamentally, the work that you submit for any part of your degree must be your

Collusion is when two or more students submit work that is very similar and there is evidence to suggest that they worked together or one used the other's work. Collusion is only relevant when the assignment is to be completed by each student individually. Group work that is submitted on a group basis is not subject to allegations of collusion.

How to avoid collusion:

- 1. Keep your materials, such as notes from reading, essay drafts, etc. private.
- 2. Do not share them with others, whether in the same class or in another class.

- 3. Do not publish them online.
- 4. Do not leave them in shared computers without password protection.

There is no problem in discussing your work, your discoveries and knowledge with other students. On the contrary, you will often be asked to work with others informally whether in class or outside. Learning from others and teaching others is an essential avenue to deeper learning.

Cheating in examinations (or other formal assessment) includes the possession of unauthorised material or technology during an examination, and attempting to access unseen assessment materials in an advance of an examination.

When enrolling as a student at the University of Wales Trinity Saint David you have consented to your work being scrutinised both electronically and for academic misconduct. Normally, your work will be submitted electronically via Moodle.

For submissions outside of the Moodle platform, it is equally important that you reference your sources accurately. In some cases you will be expected to submit both hard and electronic copies, which can be checked against the database of the UK Higher Education Plagiarism Detection Service. For handwritten, portfolio and process workbook submissions check with your module tutor if you are in any doubt about whether you have infringed the regulations. They are here to assist you; ensure that you take advantage of their expertise.

Using A Third-Party Proofreading Service

The student must declare on the front page of any written assignment submitted via Turnitin whether their assignment has been proofread or not. If the assignment has been proofread, then it must meet the proofreading regulations stipulated in the University of Wales Trinity St David Academic Misconduct Policy (section 30): https://www.uwtsd.ac.uk/media/uwtsd-website/content-assets/documents/academic-office/agh-chapters/chapters---en/Academic-Misconduct-Policy-02-2020.pdf.

Please note, the *Academic Misconduct Policy* of the University of Wales Trinity St David in section 30, defines the main principles for using a third-party proofreading service. Here is the section reproduced for your convenience.

- Third-party proofreading is allowed for any piece of academic writing unless stated otherwise. If proofreading is not permitted, information about this will be included in the module handbook.
- A proof-reader may check for, identify and suggest corrections for errors in the text. In no circumstances should a proof-reader edit a student's writing (for example, amend ideas, arguments or structure) as this will compromise the authorship of the work.
- A third-party proof-reader may:
 - Identify punctuation, spelling and typographical errors
 - o Identify grammatical and syntactical errors and anomalies
 - Identify formatting and layout errors and inconsistencies (e.g. page numbers, font size, line spacing, headers and footers)
 - o Identify errors in labelling of diagrams, charts or figures
 - Highlight overly-long or complex sentences or paragraphs, especially where meaning is ambiguous
 - Draw attention to repeated phrases or omitted words
 - Draw attention to inaccurate or inconsistent referencing
- A proof-reader may not:
 - Add content in any way
 - o Rewrite passages of text to clarify the meaning

- o Rearrange or re-order paragraphs to enhance structure or argument
- Change any words or figures, except to correct spelling
- Check or correct facts, data, calculations, formulae, equations or computer code
- o Implement or alter the referencing system
- o Re-label diagrams, charts or figures
- Reduce content so as to comply with a specified word limit
- Make grammatical, syntactical or stylistic corrections
- Translate any part of the work into English
- Failure to adhere to the above requirements may result in an investigation under the Academic Misconduct Policy.
- Students have overall responsibility for their work. The third-party proofreader shall give advice by means of tracked changes on an electronic copy or handwritten annotations on a paper copy or other similar devices. The student must take responsibility for choosing what advice to accept, and must make the changes to the master copy of the work him/herself.
- Furthermore, it is the student's responsibility to prove that a proofreader has
 adhered to these third party proofreading guidelines. Students are therefore
 advised to keep the original copy of their written work as well as the copy they
 have submitted for assessment.

Please remember that your dissertation must be submitted to Turnitin in order to insure its originality.

Turnitin may be used as part of an investigation into an alleged case of plagiarism. Refer to the *Academic Honesty Policy* available at: http://www.newbold.ac.uk (current students/Academic Policies). You can locate the Wales's *Academic Misconduct Policy* at: https://www.uwtsd.ac.uk/academic-office/academic-quality-handbook/.

Statement on Artificial Intelligence in Academic Research and Writing

Theological Foundation

The need to relate responsibly to the use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in academic research is grounded in a number of foundational theological principles:

Created in God's Image. The creation of the earth and particularly humanity is illustrative of God's creative power. Being created in the image of God (Gen 1:26) human beings reflect God's character. Therefore, human creativity is a gift from God which is to be developed and which cannot be replaced by the use of any AI.

Stewardship and Accountability. Honesty and truthfulness are important aspects of the divine-human relationship (Gen 4:7) in the interaction between employer and employee. The same is true in the educational space. Every student that submits an academic document makes a claim that their work is authentic and original. All material that does not originate from the student is someone else's intellectual property and as such it must be properly referenced.

Educational Training. An integral part of education includes the development of the student's mental capabilities as well as their written and oral communication. Studying biblical and theological sources and presenting research in various contexts requires advanced analysis and knowledge of the interplay and construction of words, phrases, and arguments. When undertaking advanced critical work and engaging in effective communication of personal research, different sources are to be used but one cannot rely fully on the analysis of others, including AI.

Statement on AI

The unethical use of ChatGPT or similar AI writing tools to generate content for written, oral or other assessment points is considered plagiarism which results in academic misconduct. Technological progress should be seen as aiding, rather than hindering research, but it is essential that all tools are used within a sound ethical framework. Thus, there are a number of limited areas in which AI writing tools can enhance the research and editing process if used responsibly.

Limitations of Al

The human researcher is responsible for all aspects of the research, writing and editing process. They can use AI research tools in the process, but need to be aware of the limitations of AI, which include:

- Reflecting bias in a variety of areas of human existence
- Drawing from and reconstituting material from existing sources only, and thus not expressing true creativity
- Not attributing the original source material
- Introducing mistakes into its presentation of facts and conclusions
- Disregarding privacy concerns
- Using intellectual property without permission (e.g., graphics and literature)

Ethical Use of Al

While recognizing that the core processes of analytical critical thinking cannot be replaced by AI, there are some aspects in the research and editing process in where AI can be employed ethically. AI can be used ethically at Newbold College:

- To aid in the identification of relevant and credible sources for research.
- To gain a cursory understanding of an area of study (e.g., Wikipedia occupies a similar space in the online arena).
- To translate foreign original source material into English (e.g., a French scholarly article). This does not include translation from biblical languages though.
- To assist ESL (English as a Second Language) students in translating small sections of a personally written document from a native language (e.g., Portuguese) into English.
- To assist in the data analysis in specific research formats or computer programs particularly in the areas of quantitative and qualitative research.
- To assist with proof-reading (e.g., Grammarly).
- To aid those with documented learning and writing challenges in their studies, pending Programme Director approval (e.g., speech recognition and language processing).

Practical Implications

To ensure the ethical use of AI in research, students should be guided by the principle of transparency. The practical implications of this include:

- Students should include a signed statement outlining their use of AI in their
 research process. This should be done for each submission. The statement
 should be inserted after the title page as a separate page. Not only should the
 statement list the specific AI tools used, but it should specify how they were
 used in both the research and editing process.
- Maintain and submit a copy of your pre-edited original version, prior to any even ethical – use of AI writing tools.
- Lecturers may invite students to an oral viva to clarify arising issues.
- If unfair practice is detected in a submission, even years after the degree has been awarded, the possibility of revoking the degree exists.

While AI has the potential for positive contributions, it should be used responsibly, with careful consideration, and within the ethical framework endorsed by Newbold College of Higher Education.

LIBRARY AND LEARNING RESOURCES

The primary provision is from the Roy Graham (Newbold College) library services; further details are available from the institution. In addition, students may be able to access some of the University's electronic resources, where licensing allows. For further details please go to the Library and Learning Resources web page for partner students at:

http://www.uwtsd.ac.uk/library/services/services-for-partner-students/

The following points will help you become an independent learner.

Tutors

A personal tutor is assigned to each student cohort. This year the tutors are as follows:

- Biblical Studies Dr Ivan Milanov
- Systematic Theology Dr Tihomir Lazić
- Pastoral Studies Dr Julian Thompson

Your personal tutor will advise you on academic matters and study strategies. You may take to your tutor any other matter that concerns you. Please keep a record of your interactions with your tutor. The Personal Tutorial Report form is available on the Undergraduate Theology website. Please note the College's *Tutorial and Personal Development Planning Policy* that requires you to meet at least once a semester with your tutor for a discussion of your progress. Our Pastoral Studies colleagues will collaborate with you on your *Personal Development Portfolio* in those modules for which it is a formative requirement.

Aids to Study

The following activities and resources are provided for students:

Induction and Orientation

As part of the initial enrolment process, students are introduced their tutor and the other lecturers in the Centre. They are also provided with an orientation and induction to the programme, which includes the distribution of the *CMM Undergraduate Programme Handbook*, the *CMM Field Experience Handbook* and the UWTSD *Handbook of Academic Policies and Programmes*. The Centre also arranges for Study and Research Skills sessions in which the lecturers and the librarians provide an orientation of the learning resources and processes available to students.

Library

The Roy Graham Library provides access to academic resources and services for study and research via the College's <u>Library Portal</u>.

The Roy Graham Library Portal contains the Keyword Search (of the Library Catalogue) and the EBSCOhost Ebooks and Ejournals link to the Library's econtent

(at the centre and top of the page). The Portal directs students to the Library's resources, links and information:

EBSCO Remote Access

Document Delivery

Regulations (includes information about borrower limits etc.)

Opening hours

Carousel with cover shots of recent acquisitions (See screenshot)

Electronic resources via EBSCO include Academic Search Premier, eBook Collection and ATLA Religion Database with ATLA Serials.

The Library's reference collection has reference copies of dictionaries, lexicons, commentaries, etc. and hard copy of journals including local and international Seventh-day Adventist journals.

The Short Loan (SL) collection is kept at the Information Desk. It provides access to heavy use titles chosen and updated by lecturers for different modules. Short Loan deliberately provides daily loans and weekend loans to aid maximum use. Digitised copies of chapters/essays/pages, within copyright, requested by lecturers for Moodle, are supplied by the Library.

For non-resident and off-campus students <u>EBSCO Remote Access</u> log in information is required. Although this provides access to eBooks, digitised material on Moodle, EBSCO resources and the Document Delivery Service students, will still need to purchase some material for themselves. The Library does not supply one book for each student for any modules.

Library Staff assist with general and specific information, resourcing, study and research queries and are available for one-on-one sessions on request. The Library Team provide assistance in using software like Zotero for collecting, managing, citing and storing students' research. The Library has a variety of study space options and the possibility of requesting individual study desks and lockers.

E.G. White Seventh-day Adventist Research Centre

This centre houses a large collection of books, pamphlets, periodicals and other materials including primary documents which provide you with tools for extensive research into the history of Seventh-day Adventism. The centre provides an unrivalled resource within western Europe for research in Seventh-day Adventist history.

Information Technology

The library provides computers and printers which enables you to prepare assignments in word-processed form. Most computers have a full suite of software including internet access, but some are configured for the use of internet-based resources only. A few are configured for specific uses like printing or have specialist software like Accordance Bible Software. There is wireless internet access throughout the College and also library building.

The CMM encourages each student to purchase of Bible Software for personal research. Bible Software is as critical to the success in research as textbooks. A variety of products are commercially available. After carefully evaluation the CMM encourages the Accordance Bible Software. Additional information will be provided during the Induction week and tutorials will be provided throughout the school year.

Writing and Research Skills

Research Methods for each discipline are incorporated into the foundation modules.

Additional workshops for style and tutorials on research software are also scheduled during the Study and Research Skills seminar with Dr Eike Mueller.

Referencing and Style

Referencing and documentation are an important part of good research. The Centre for Ministry and Mission uses the *SBL Style Guide*. Your work is expected to comply with the format set out in this book. It can be accessed

http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=970822&site=ehost-live&scope=site.

Newbold College of Higher Education recommends the use of bibliographical software such as Zotero or similar products. Students are expected to develop good research skills. The software can assist in producing good style outcomes.

Oral Presentation Skills

Throughout the degree programme attention is given to oral presentation skills. These skills are particularly emphasised in the Pastoral Studies modules and placement requirements where, for instance, modules include preaching and seminar presentations. Presentations in other modules will also ensure that you get plenty of practice and constructive criticism.

Module Information

At the beginning of each semester, you will be given a module descriptor which sets out in detail all that is necessary to know about each module, e.g. content, procedures, assignments, modes of assessment, required reading, indicative bibliography etc. Assessment deadlines are published on the programme website and at the end of this handbook.

Teaching and Learning

Teaching Methods and Contact Hours

You will experience a variety of teaching methods, including lectures, tutorials and seminars. Contact hours will normally range between 18-24 hours for every 10 CATS / 5 ECTS credits. Normally, students in a 10 CATS credit module will have one two-hour lecture block per week.

Formative and Summative Work

The work that counts towards your final grade is called summative work. In some modules you are also required to submit formative work. This work is assessed in the same way as your summative work, but does not count towards your final grade. It must nevertheless still be completed. The purpose of your formative work is to fulfil the learning outcomes of the module and prepare you for successful completion of your summative work.

Course Work and Examinations

Depending on the nature of the module, the final grade will be decided by course work, an examination, or a combination of both. The duration of exams is listed in the module descriptor and Appendix A, though generally written examinations last two hours.

Study Load

The contact hours for a full-time student will normally be 10-14 hours per week, including lectures, seminars and tutorials. Based on a 40-hour week, this you are expected to fill the remaining 26 hours per week with class preparation, reading, reflection, research and writing.

STUDENT SERVICES

The primary source of student support is from the tutors, Programme Managers, Head of CMM and Academic Registrar at Newbold College. For further details please contact one of the above.

COMPLAINTS

If you are dissatisfied with an aspect of your experience as a student on a UWTSD programme, you have a right to make a complaint about any specific concern about the provision of your Programme of Study or a related academic service. Students are encouraged, in the first instance, to resolve the matter with the person or persons directly involved. If you wish to make a formal complaint, you should in the first instance pursue it through the Newbold College complaints procedures. The complaint process is available from the Newbold College website. If you remain dissatisfied, you are then entitled to submit a formal complaint to the University, described in Chapter 12 of the *Academic Quality Handbook* and the associated *Student Complaint Policy*. https://www.uwtsd.ac.uk/academic-office/academic-quality-handbook/

STUDENTS' UNION

The Students' Union is recognised as the voice of students within the University. It also offers support to students from collaborative partner institutions when their cases are considered centrally as outlined in the relevant policies, such as Academic Appeals and Academic Misconduct, https://www.tsdsu.co.uk/.

APPENDIX A

Campla	Assignment	Eront	Dogo
Sample	ASSIGNMENT	LIOH	raue

(TITLE)

(STUDENT)

Submitted to (Lecturer) in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the module (Module)

Word Count:

(Date)

Newbold College of Higher Education in partnership with University of Wales Trinity Saint David

APPENDIX B

[Sample] Statement of Authorship

I certify that this document fully reflects my own work and does not contain plagiarized or AI-generated material in whole or in part.

The following consists of a complete list of electronic tools or apps that were used to assist in the writing of this document:

- 1. Microsoft Word
- 2. Zotero

[Sample] Statement of Proofreading

I certify that this document has not been proofread by a third-party or a software.

OR

This document has been proofread. The proofreading has been completed in the accordance with the policies outlined above and in compliance with UWTSD. [Name/Signature of Proofreader]